MADURAI
9017

மதுரை
9017

...nt Letters file. Volume I

Vol 9017

[illegible]	No.	To whom sent V J M	Substance 9817 No. 30/41
/1	7	Secretary to the Board of Revenue. P. W. D	Reporting that a choultry has been constructed at Kalligudy on subscription and requesting an annual money payment for its support, or Rs [illegible] of waste lands of that nominal value.
10/1	18	Collector of Tinnevelly	Forwarding copy of an address received from the Supt. of [illegible] Devastanam regarding the land dispute between Poovani village and Maniatchi zemindar (This is not in the file).
/2	47	Sub Collector Do. —	Informing that the Tahsildar of Nadakulam will attend at the disputed boundary referred to by him on the 22nd current (This is not in the file.)
/2	52	Do —	Requesting to send an early reply on the subject of the disputed boundary between the villages of Poovani and Suckalagudy.
/2	66	Sub Collector of Madura	Forwarding copy of a letter from the Board of Revenue regarding tax on land newly brought under well cultivation and requesting an early report on the subject (This is not in the file).
1/2		Letters with file	
	14	Secretary to the Board of Revenue —	Reporting that he has awarded the village referred to in the petition No. 1636 of 1839 to the petitioner as the same had been given him as jeevidham by the zemindar Gowri Vallabha Tever of Shevagunga

Date	No	To whom sent	Substance
1/3	83	Collector Tinnevelly	Informing that the ryots of Congurcottah village have no right to the land in dispute as the same is included in the survey accounts of Shovranguddy village.
16/3	26	Secretary to the Board of Revenue	Reporting the practice in his District as regards land being allowed to lie fallow without detriment to the previous occupier's right.
18/3	28	Do	Submitting his opinion as to the expediency of exempting cultivation under new wells from all additional tax.
23/3	104	Judge Madura	Informing in reference to the order of transfer of a curnam's maniam in Ramnad, his opinion that such lands never were liable to separation from the offices to which they had been annexed &c.
25/3	30	Secretary to the Board of Revenue	Requesting sanction for the annual disbursement of Rs 527 for the support of 17 water pandals in different parts of the Collectorate. The charge to be defrayed from the Pagoda surplus fund.
26/3	111	Judge Madura	Reporting on the miscalle... service maniam land...

Date	No.	To whom sent	Substance. 3
			Ramnad and informing his acceptance to refer the case for the consideration of a still higher Court.
28/4	145	Collector Tinnevelly	Requesting to communicate his opinion on the subject of the boundary dispute between the villages of Poovani and Sickalagundy at an early date (This is not in the file).
11/5	"	"	Memo regarding the mirasi right of the nattamai of Kurichipatty and Ilampatty in Melur Taluq (This is not in the section book).
12/6	"	"	Memo regarding the mirasi right of the Curnamship of Cunnathur village in Tirumangalam taluq.
24/6	57	Secretary to the Board of Revenue.	Returning petition No 790 of 1840 and reporting the grounds on which petitioners were excluded from a share in the mirasi nattamai of the village of Coomolangulam

1840 (Vol. I).

List of Retainable papers for 1840.

Sent Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

3 5

Date.	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
2nd July	62	Secy to the Board of Revenue	Submitting his opinion on Petition of the Head of the Deva of Tirunaamamalai in North claiming the right of Superintend of the Piranmalai Pagodas in ... Sunga and of the management the lands with which they endowed. [Draft sent to J.C. Dy Collr.
7th Do	65	Do — Do	Reporting on the condit Shevagamy Nachyar, daughter former Rajah of Ramnad who deposed for a political of in 1796 and pointing out what assistance should extended to her by Govt. [Filed as exhibit in O.S. ... 86 of 35

1

List of Retainable papers for 1840.

...ent Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
65.	Secy to the Board of Revenue	Forwarding copy of an extract from the Procgs of the Southern Provincial court directing under instructions from the Sudder Adawlut that the Zemindary of Sivagunga be continued to be held under attachment for the benefit of the party who may be ultimately successful in the litigation.
68	Do do	Requesting sanction for granting to the Cunnaputty chuttrum an allowance of Rs 2-2-4 per diem from the surplus fund of the Thirumalay Nayaka Mudaliar Kutlay of the Meenatchi Pagoda.
255	Ag Collr of Tanjore	Requesting to inform him of the result of the enquiry made by the

4

List of Retainable papers for 1840.

Sent Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

Date.	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
			the Tahsildar of Puttucottah in Tank bank dispute between the villages of Sembavayel & Ienjav[illegible]
7th Aug	256.	Collr of Tinnevelly	Requesting him to send that portion of the ayacut accounts which, as pointed out by him, could [illegible] the required information [illegible] the disputed boundary [illegible] the inhabitants of Canqur[illegible] and Sooranqudy.
28th Do	271	Do. of Tanjore	Stating that the bank [illegible] by the villagers of Sembavayel [illegible] Puttucottah has not been remov[ed] as yet and informing at the sa[me] time that the lands claimed by villagers in Eenjavayel have long time since been under [illegible]

List of Retainable papers for 1840.

Sent Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

Date.	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
			enjoyment of the rights of Eenjavayl.
Sepr	88	Secy to the Board of Revenue	Transmitting copy of his decision on Petition No 1300 of 1840 rejecting the claim of the Petitioner to the office of curnum of the village of Coonnatoor in this District.
	331	Resident of Tanjore	Enclosing copy of an address from the Ameen of Tirupatur in Shevagunga and requesting to take steps to put an end to the usurpation of water by the inhabitants of Neyevasal in Puducottah.
Do	97	Secy to the Board of Revenue	Recommending the appropriation of land partly waste and partly cultivated bearing an assessment of Rs 60 per annum for

List of Retainable papers for 1840.

Sent Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
		for the Support of a choultry built by Annaji Row at the village of Chittampatti instead of the former grant of waste land.
356	Collr of Tinnevelly	Requesting to be informed of the authority under which he had set aside the decree of a Panchayet passed on the 30th September 183[illegible] the dispute between the villages of Poovaunay and Sickarapoody and thence referred the parties to the Civil Court.
98	Secy to the Board of Revenue	Submitting information & accoun[t] regarding two villages (Vadaga Settoo & Thamarapallum) in which the assessment fixed by Mr. Hardis was reduced by Mr. Peter.

List of Retainable papers for 1840.

Sent Letters. Madura Collector's Office.

Date.	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
31st Octr	365	Resident of Tanjore	Requesting to procure the a[illegible]lance of the Tahsildars in H.E. the Tondiman's country on the 20th [illegible] inst and to decide upon the [illegible]puted boundaries in concert [illegible] the ameen of the Amaravatee [illegible]
1st Novr	372	Collr of Tinnevelly	Forwarding copy of an ad[illegible] from the Gumastah of Barala[illegible] and requesting to prohibit a[illegible] of Arunachalapuram in [illegible] mootah of Poodoor from [illegible]vating 1/4 koorkam of [illegible] land held under assumption [illegible] of the Board of Revenue.
7th Novr	104	Secy to the Board of Revenue	Forwarding a correspond[illegible] with the Collr of Tinnevelly re[illegible] certain land in dispute on the bo[illegible]

Retainable papers for 1840.

Sent Letters. Collector's Office.

	Number	To whom sent	Substance
			of the two collectorates and requesting the Board's interference and orders on the subject.
20th Nov	392	Collr of Tinnevelly	Stating that the Sluice in ... vaikal was built at the mutual agreement of the inhabitants ... Athoor and Nadoocaroy in ... and informing that if he ... to make any alteration thereo... he should take care that the Ramnad lands might suffer no diminution from water.
Do	395	Do Do	Detailing in reply to his lett... of the 4th instt on the justice in the claim of the inhabitants of Soorang... to the land in dispute between them and those of Cangaracotai and requesting if

List of Retainable papers for 18

Sent Letters. ura Collector's Office.

	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
			if he had no objection, to acquiesce in the propriety of keeping the Sooranguddy inhabitants in full possession and referring their adversaries to the civil court.
[illegible]	109	Secy to the Board of Revenue	Returning Petition No 1061 of 1840 praying for the restoration of a village granted by a former Zemindar of Sheva[ganga] and resumed by the Principal Collr and referring the Board on this subject to the instructions which were conveyed to him in their letter of the 23d December last.
Do	110	Do — Do	Reporting the circumstances under which Thadeyah Gounden was dismissed in fusli 1239.

from

Number.	To whom sent	Substance. 13
		from the office of Nattamcar of the village of Amyobpooram and the orders passed by him on his Petition claiming to be restored.
Decr 116	Secy to the Board of Revenue	Recommending the appropriation of Re 1 per day from the Surplus fund of Marudhanaigam Kuttai in Meenatchi Pagoda in the Fort of Madura for the Support of a chuttram built at Ammiatinaickanur by Subscription
Do 118	Collr of Tanjore	Forwarding copy of his order to and an extract Register from, the Ameen of Amravaty wherein he can perceive the claim for Supply of water is admitted and Stating also that the tank in dispute has

List of Retainable papers

Sent Letters. | Madura Collector's Office.

	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
			not received its full supply of water and that therefore he cannot allow of the opening of the surplus channel
9th Decr	421	Actg Collr of Tinnevelly	Requesting to furnish him with a certain document which forms the essential material for the disposal of the boundary dispute between the inhabitants of Pandalagoody and Mettalapatti
11th Do	119	Secy to the Board of Revenue	Stating that the Petitioner in no 1689 of 1840 has no Mirasy Claim to the Curnomships of the Valapoor Kuttai of the Meenatchi Pagoda in Shevagunga but that he is simply an hired servant and that therefore has no right to interfere when

Lis[t] ... etainable papers ...

Sent Letters. Madura Collector's O[ffi]ce.

Date.	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
			when he was dismissed by his mast[er]
31st Dec	440	Resident of Tanjore	Forwarding copy of an address from the ameen of Tirupatur in Shivaganga and requesting him to enforce a decision already made for the removal of the bank in question.
Do	441	Do — Do	Stating with respect to the boundary dispute between Nedun goody and Koothaimangalam villages that the parties concerned do consent to abide by the decision of a Panchayet and requesting him to be informed of the views of H. E. on the Subject.
25th Do	435	Collr of Tinnevelly	Requesting in continuation of his letter

[torn] papers for [torn]

Se[torn] Letters. [torn]dura Collector's Office.

[torn]ate.	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
			letter of the 9th inst that if the [illegible] document in question were not to be forthcoming he would allow them to pass his own decision in the case of the border dispute between Mattalapatti and Pandalagoody villages.

9 No 7

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
in the Department of Public Works

Fort St George

Sir,

From Thiroomungalum, the last bungalow in Madura to Virdoopatty, the first in Tinnevelly on the high road from Madras to Palamcottah & Tinnevelly, is a distance of 19 miles, of which 15 are through exceeding deep cotton soil — and as yet but little has been done to render it passable during the rain — I have sought in vain for other routes parallel; and as a final immediate resource ~~have~~ raised subscriptions to build a chuttrum

at a spot called Commerapully in
Cullingapatam [illegible] miles from Thiroo-
=vengalore & 8 from Sidhooputtly,
[illegible] in last year. _ Under the ex-
=pectation of obtaining an endow-
=ment for it from one of the Polli-
=gars, I neglected to address Govt. be-
=fore it was commenced - & when my
expectation was disappointed I for-
=got to do so. _ It is now about three
[illegible] [illegible] is at its completion
with [illegible] cost about 20 [illegible] Rupees,
at which time I trust the Board will
be prepared to recommend an an-
=nual money payment for its sup-
=port of 60 rupees per annum; or,
with lands of that nominal va-
=lue tho' my experience induces

10 me strongly to recommend the
former mode.

Madura 6th January 1840

J. [illegible]
Lt Col

1840. Dept of public works

No.

From the Presid.t Coll.r of Madurai

Dated 6 Jany 1840

Referred

No 7
To the Secy to the Board of Revd. in the Depart.t of public works dated 6 Jany 1840

No. 2

R

E

The Asst Sub Collr

in Charge

of

Tinnevelly

Sir,

With reference to my letter of the 10 ultimo regarding the disputed boundary between the villages of Poovany & Sekkan Lacoody in Aturdistrict, I have the honor to request your early reply to enable me to dispose of the complaints before me.

I have &c

J Blackburne

P Col.

Madura

Ramnad

February 1840

Inv[illegible]

No 52

Dr Theo [illegible]

Collector of th[illegible]

Charge of

Tirnvally

dated 3d Ja[illegible]

1814

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George

Sir,

With reference to Petition No. 1636 of 1839 herewith returned forward with your letter of the 6th Instant (recd 17) I have the honor to state that the hamlet alluded to by Petitioner was granted to his father by Zavoory Vulsata Lowa on Jeeve tha Cowle (service) tenure on Condition of paying a tax of 10 fs. pr Cullum of seed land according to which he enjoyed it for about 18 years. In fusly 1243 the Exzemindars granted it as Dhurmasanum to his Persian Moanshee and being included in the general list presented by Ungamuttoo

parties to whom the Zemindary has been assessed it was assumed with all other Villages newly [illegible] by the Zemindar in conformity to orders from the Sudr Udalut.

It was unjust in the Zemindar to resume it from Petitioner & give it to the Moonshee and I am of opinion it should be restored to Petitioner's enjoyment on the terms originally granted.

Previous to the orders of the Sudr Court both parties laid their claim before me and being perfectly satisfied that Petitioner's claim was more just & legal than the Moonshee's I awarded it in his favour.

I have &c.
J. B. [illegible]
[illegible]

Madura
21st February 1840

13 No. 13

R

To The Collector of Tinnevelly

Sir,

With reference to your letter dated 23rd January I have the honor to forward Copy of an address from the Tasildar of Madacolum together with its enclosures which I hope will satisfy you that the Ryots of Congurcottah have no right to the land in dispute & that it has been clearly included in the survey account of Shoorongoody village of this Collectorate — that it has been in their undisturbed possession since a length of time, & ~~it~~ is situated within the boundary ~~these~~ stone of the latter village —

I have &c.

Madura
1st March
1840

J. Blackburne
Cr

No. 26

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir

14

D R

1. — I have just had the honor to receive your Circular of the 9th Inst requiring a report, at my earliest convenience, of the regulations in my District as respects land being allowed to lie fallow without detriment to the previous occupiers rights, & my opinion of what rules in this respect would be most conducive to the prosperity of the Country.

2. I beg leave to state for the Board's information that lands are constantly left fallow in this district for one or sometimes two years with a

view to the recovery of an impoverished soil. It is sometimes left fallow for a single year from the Ryots accidental want of necessaries or want of means to cultivate in due season from his sickness or absence at different tribunals: it is sometimes left fallow for an undefined longer period consequent as before on sickness, or lengthened pilgrimage; and in the talooks of Iyempettah & Tirucumbur in the in-digent character a portion is often left in grass for pasture which pays no tax.

It is seldom that land left thus fallow is sought by others as there is generally waste enough of inferior quality to satisfy the wants of the

-population. Sometimes a Piece
from being long left uncultivat-
-ed it is not thought likely to yield
a tolerable crop, as also where
one Ryot is at variance with an-
other, there will be no attempt
to undertake the cultivation - In
this case the Proprietor, if known
has the refusal & on his declining
it is given up to him who demands
it, and is restored to the original
occupier the following year. - It
would also be the case where a
proprietor being absent had not
had the option of declining. In the
event of the second occupant hav-
-ing been in possession for more
than five years without objection

by the original occupant there
=cend would be kept in possession
by the revenue authorities and
the original occupier would be
referred to the Civil Courts of the
Circuit the point with contesting.

The population of this district
is too small in proportion to the ex
tent of land to make any modifi
=cation in this respect at present ad
visable within the district - for till called for change
and innovation tho in themselves
good, are in various ways injurious.

The cultivated land in Madurabum
a proportion of 45 per cent to the whole
of the arable land; and in Dindigul
of only 25 per cent. hence there is no
reason to debar the Ryots of Sivagunga
and Tanjore from pasturing
their cattle on fallow lands - as a
restriction therefrom would not tend to

[illegible] on Cultivation [illegible] 16 March 18[illegible] [illegible]

No 28 16

[To the] Secretary to [the] Board of Revenue
Fort St George

R

On the 13th Ultimo I had the honor to receive your circular of the 6th on the subject of land irrigated from wells, and beg to inform the Board their kind of culture is confined almost entirely to the talooks of Streecombo Vethestay and Iyempetty in Dindigul, under the charge of the sub collr.

In case of application a ready compliance would be given for [illegible] at the established rules to persons wishing to sink wells but the necessity to [illegible] for ale

land entered in the Books whether cultivated or waste seems to ... ... deterred ... from ... such ... ~~carry with~~ increase of wells ... cultivation ... have made ... their own independent ... will ... fall increased the ... =mediately ... on all land so irrigated. The accompanying ... ... shewing the varia= =tion in the quantity ... of land irrigated by wells during the last ten fuslies.

No 2 shews the average charge of sinking a well - the charges of culture & ~~...~~ ~~...~~ ... be compared with the assumed average value of the assumed =age produce by which the cultivator could only obtain a net profit of

6 Ch. Clis

~~Rs~~ rupees after six years, without taking into consideration the risk of losing all his capital in sinking such a well where water was not found; or the expense of the well & purchase of apparatus for raising the water in case of the site having been judiciously chosen

but he will thus [illegible] his [illegible] altogether

[illegible] of the well; ~~which~~ being thus [illegible] been

[illegible] the 3 talooks under consideration the classes of soil vary & the tax of the class also varies — in Statement No 3 I have attempted to average the classes & their rate in each talook, & shewn the double of that average in comparison with the average rate of the present [illegible] cultivation, & in comparison

with the ~~the rates~~ averages now derivable from cultivation under wells.

In these talooks there is no Punjah garden land: it is confined to Punjah alone – & in the talooks [of] Tandicumboo & Kullacotay if tobacco be grown in garden land it pays a higher rate than other produce; & this rule does not hold good in Zemindary only because at the time of survey there did not happen to be any tobacco cultivated in the talook whatever. ×

From these three statements the Board must altogether [see] that there are been no new wells sunk since the survey:– and that the encouragement held out is altogether insufficient. The various reports of my predecessors and myself must have shewn the Board the comparatively depopulated & impoverished state of

× On the contrary there have been many new wells which have supplanted others in which the supply of water has failed; & it is every where asserted that one well more has [been] made no longer be sunk [illegible]

18

these bakshs in consequence of the want of rain during the last ten years — hence if water is to be found by sinking wells there can be little doubt of the desirableness of encouraging such mode of culture, a mode which I believe has been much approved of in the adjoining collectorate of Coimbatore to the soil of which these bakshs much assimilate.

It is apprehended by my native advisers that if a man be now [illegible] entitled to cultivate 12 Gullies of Poonjah for the maintenance of his family, & afterwards procure the means of converting 3 Gullies into garden land he will find the

produce equal to the 12 gallies
of Congee above mentioned & will
immediately cease to labor at the
same gallies contenting himself
with the produce of the three,
thus his ~~town~~ maintenance is se:
cured without much toil and the
ther [illegible] deprived of three fourths
[illegible] the formerly had. And the
remedy they recommend is that
the tax should be raised suffici:
ently to secure the Govt. from any
loss by desertion of lowrigeh lands.
I can by no means agree in this
view of the subject and am of o:
pinion that no tax whatever sho-
-ld be put upon improvement of land.
I do not believe at all in the [illegible]
apathy of the cultivators & where

it has exist. I think it must
arise from the grasping avarice
of the tax master & from their
neglect to secure them in the
unmolested enjoyment of their
profits. I have not unfrequently
heard it said the necessity of un-
-ceasing toil to secure a bare
~~livelihood~~ maintenance is a means of keep-
-ing turbulence & discontent.
I should never fear such a
declaration. & I have always
been taught to look upon the
ease & comfort of the ryot as the
wealth & advantage of the
state & under this view I
recommend that free cultivation
all new well lands shall be

for the five [illegible] years after which

tax — [illegible]

is the ... [illegible] of September 1803

[illegible] of the principle tax & [illegible]

[illegible] not permanent to be demanded

2d: [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] and that there

shall be no difference of tax within

[illegible] produce it be cultivated [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

3. The Sub Collector views of the subject

enclosed.

Anderson Mughes [illegible] J. Blackburne

18th March 1800 — J. Cor

~~Statement showing~~ the Poonjah Garden lands ~~Cultivated by support~~ irrigated by of Wells in the Taloks of Tandicombo Iyempully & ~~Kella~~cottay from Fusly 1239 to 1248

Fuslies	Tandicombo					Iyempully					Kellacottay					Remarks
	Extent of Garden land Cultivated		Its tax			Extent of Land		Its tax			Extent of Land		Its tax			
	Cawn	as	Chs	a	b	Cawn	as	Chs	a	b	Cawn	as	Chs	a	b	
1239	2230	4¾	14700	1	..	3309	9	16651	14	6	1620	9¼	9103	1	2	
40	2333	2½	15599	..	..	3541	5¼	16489	15	5	1750	15½	10313	3	5	
41	2217	5½	14786	1	4	3872	0¼	15945	2	3	1493	15½	9277	6	5	
42	2363	7½	15223	6	4	3025	13½	14541	6	7	1752	9¼	10703	12	10	
43	2592	¾	16671	4	10	3440	2½	16951	5	5	1951	½	12011	12	11	
44	2340	11¾	15573	3	8	3487	15	17362	9	11	1921	6¼	11985	..	4	
45	2332	5¾	15912	5	11	3657	½	18288	6	7	1864	7	11464	12	9	
46	2367	15¼	14571	10	3	3206	15	15959	7	2	2017	14	11209	10	5	
47	2565	4¾	17139	9	9	3288	1¼	16434	8	..	2247	2¾	13944	5	2	
48	2687	6¾	18135	7	7	3744	10¾	18786	11	8	2204	1¼	13650	5	..	

From Fusly 1245 to 1248, the ~~tax of the~~ Garden ~~land~~ Cultivation of the ~~Edia~~ & Adeenkaraty Pallium ~~was~~ included in the Revenue of Iyempully Talook Viz^t —

In Fusly 1245 —	Rs	2022. 5. 3.
46 —	"	1963. 4. 9
47 —	"	2213. 5. 3.
48 —	"	2311. 12. 5.

In Fusly 1248 the ~~under mentioned~~ garden tax of the Devastanam lands ~~in the~~ included ~~in the~~ as follows ~~Talooks~~ Viz^t

	Cawn	Its tax
Tandicombo	72. 13	480. 3. 3.
Iyempully	176. 2¾	829. 1. 11

Madura Meyloor 18th March 1840

J. Blackburne
Col^r

Total for six years ——— 23.10.

Total of cost, charges & stuff for 6 years } 809 10

Returns of the land

First crop of Natchiny	bulls 15 —	[many] value	Rs 30
Second crop of Cholum	bulls 18 ———		36
Value of stalks ———			7
Third crop — ~~Tobacco~~ —	[Bundles] ~~pounds~~ 6 ———		63
			136

Average produce for the six years — [illegible]

Net profit at the close of the sixth year ——— } 6.6.1 ~~6 rupees~~

And possession of the well wherein the ~~[illegible]~~ Ryot may be considered as having laid out his money at an interest of [near] 18 per cent per annum.

Madura Meylore }
18 March 1804 }

J Blackburne
Pr Colr

No. 2

Memo: of charges for sinking a well ... sufficient to irrigate ... of Rengh lands of the ... at charges of cultivating the same for 6 years ... by the ... and of the average value ... ~~ground average produce~~

... sinking the well —— 150. 0

... drawing water at ... month ... —— 96. 0. 0

... of the ground —— 4. 0. 0

... —— 3. 0. 0

... ed —— 3. 0. 0

106. 0. 0

... charges —— 636. 0

... of 3 ... at the average ... 3. 0 per ... in accordance with ... lands ...

... year	Tree		
2nd	1/8	1 8	1 2
3d	1/4	3 12	2 4
4	1/2	[illegible]	4 8
5	3/4	[illegible]	6 12
	full garden	[illegible]	9

Average Rates of Khirajah and Jungun land tax per Beegah in [illegible]

Pergunnahs	Average rate of tax according to the different classes of [illegible]	[illegible]	Aggregate [illegible] of [illegible]	[illegible]	Thus double	Average tax per Beegah	Its double	Average tax on the Pergunnah [illegible] of July 1788
[illegible]	7-6-5-4½-4-3½-3-2½-2-1½-&1	11	40	3⅝	7¼	3	6	13/10
[illegible]	10-8-7-6-5-4½-4-3-2-1-&½	11	54	4⅝	9⅛	3½	5	10½
[illegible]	10-8-7-6-5½-5-4½-4-3½-3-2½-2-1½-&1	14	63½	4 9/10	[illegible]	3½	7	12 15/18

N.B. In [illegible] no difference is made in cultivation of [illegible] or other produce. In the other two [illegible] the highest, the other grain [illegible] the other [illegible]

[illegible]

Mr. [illegible] Meglon

[illegible]

No 104

24

The Judge
Madura R

Sir/

With reference to your letter of the 17th inst, No 121, directing the transfer of a Curnums Maunium ~~[illegible]~~ Rammad, a Zemindary in possession of the proprietor, I take the liberty of expressing my opinion that such lands never were liable to separation from the offices to which they had been annexed by the State, & never could be alienated by the incumbent as he had no positive & sole right in them, but had a possessory right only so long as he was continued in his office, the removal from which was vested by Regn XXIX of 1802 in the Courts of Adalut, as confirmed to them

by Section VIII Regn VI of 1831. — And
that this latter Regulation, tho' enact-
ed to publish ~~document~~ this [illegible]
prevent future alienations, did
not thereby sanction former alie-
nations, or ~~admit~~ acknowledge a
of alienation
right in holders of [illegible] Mani-
~~[illegible]~~ lands in estates in the hands of the
proprietors.

Under this view I hope you will
not be dissatisfied that I delay com-
pliance with your decree till its re-
petition; in want of which I hope
you will be so good as to refer the
matter for consideration of the higher
judicial tribunals, or will inform
me you do not deem it necessary
when I shall do myself the honor
of bringing it to the special notice
of Govt in the revenue Department

Myltown
28d March 1840

25

J W Blackburne
Pd ??

49

No 30 28 Entd

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

26 Fort St George

Sir/ R

I have the honor to request you will obtain the Board's sanction for the annual disbursement of Rs 527 for support of 17 water pandals in different parts of the ~~district~~ collectorate for four months in each year commencing according to the season from the beginning to the end of March, & the charges to be defrayed from an abundant surplus of the different pagodas shown in the enclosed memorandum. The spots have been selected with reference to great necess-

...rity and to the [illegible] of the...
...gidans finding the funds. –

It seems unnecessary to descant
on the propriety of using the funds
for such a purpose and the ex-
tensive charity of the measure to
those so well acquainted with the
country as the Board; and I have
only to regret [struck-through word] that any cir-
cumstances should have induced me to
delay my application till now.

J. W. [illegible]
S. Cr.

[illegible] Madras
[illegible]
25 March 1840

... the way to Chinapunga

Provender Do ... From do of Ponnacherychet ... from

From Chinapunga to Trich...

Oakoor ... From do of Thirocostype do

Pudiepoor do ... From do of Pudiepooputtoor do

From Chinapunga to Callamaniab

Callerpoor do

Sarrapaury do ... From do of Callamaniab do

Madura
Magistrate 25 March 1840

J Blackburne
Colr

Memorandum 28

Estimate for ~~establishing~~ temporary water pandals in different highways for the relief of Native passengers

R	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]
Pay of 1 Brahmin	3 1/2		
Do. of 1 Pandaram	2		
Buttermilk charges	1 3/4	7	527
Pots, Salt &c. do.	1/2		

Places at which the Pandals [illegible] Funds from which to be supported

On the Road to Tinnevelly (all from Madura)

Thiroopuracoondrum — From the surplus funds of the Pagoda in the same village
Cuppaloor — From do. of Soondaraswar devasthanum at Thiroomungalum
Samnaoottay — From do. of Thidyem Devasthanum

~~On the Road~~ to Trichinopoly

Kuspitt Anamalay — From do. of Thoorombor do.
Thekoottaroovoo — From do. of Alagherry do.
Koombaputtee — From do. of Thiroovadavoor do.
Pooludyputtee — From do. of Piramalay do.
Patamunjee — From do. of Caroomatoor do.

~~On the Road~~ to Dindigul

Amattaray — From do. of Dindigul do.

~~On the Road~~ to Ramnad

Silayaman — From do. of Meenachee do.

~~On the Road~~ to Alagherry ~~Hill~~ coil

Vellamunthapom
Appunthiroopady — From do. of Alagherry do.

No. 111. 29

The Judge
Madura R

Sir,

I have just had the honor to receive your letter of the 3rd inst. giving cover to your letter of the 1st to the Southern Provincial Court of Appeal on the subject of mis-called Service Maniem lands in Ramnad; and their answer to you of the 19th.

In the 2nd para. of your letter I observe that the land altho' returned or justified by the Circar is worth less Maniem land, and as such comes within the restrictions of provisions of "Regn. VI of 1831" under which you say mistaken may ensue respecting the said land.

In the 2nd para of the Provincial Court's

letter, they observe that "Comming the lands referred to by the Prin Coll of Ma'zura to have been resumed or "resumed" (both terms indiscriminately occur in Mr Blackburne's letter) Gadasht (60 years ago by the Gov.t, it is notwithstanding clearly admitted by the officer aforesaid, in the Gadasht which accompanied your original letter of the 21st Ultimo that the land (to a certain extent at least) "Merasy land." And if so the Court with reference to the admitted nature of the duties required by the Certain infer that a claim thereto preferred before a District Moonsiff could not be litigated without involving a consideration of Service Maunium in opposition to the provisions of Regn VI of 1831, the very regulation under which the Prin Coll had instituted the enquiry the Gadasht referred

50

Stress seems to be laid by you & the superior Court on the words "Zuft & resumed" as if they carried two meanings or as if I used them for the purpose of confusion — Allow me to explain that I used the Arabic word "Zuft" in my Tamil Memo: as the word in common use in this country & tantamount to the word "assume" as translated into English.

You lay it down that land originally service Maniam, the resumed & [illegible] or an equivalent given in lieu of it by a Govt having power to make that resumption, is still ~~Maniam~~ service Maniam. — The superior Court declare they see my admission "that the land is (to certain extent at least) Mirasy land. — I beg leave

to observe I never meant to make any
such admission I do not think my
language will fairly bear any such
construction — at all events I crave
leg distinctly to express my belief
that the missionaries service Mani-
-am land is not so in fact; that
it is not subject to the provisions
of Regt VI of 1831 & that it is not en-
-titled to exemption from the civil
judicature of the Courts of Adalut
any more than the whole re-
-mainder of the irrigated land of
Ramnad.

N.B. If an Ambalam be dismissed his land does not pass to his successor but to his unofficial heir.

3. I gladly accept the Provincial Courts obliging offer, as shown in the 3d para: of their letter, to refer the case for consi-deration of a still higher Court.

Madura
Mugloor 26 March
1840

J Blackburne
Colr

R

=dar: – that the Kartyzay be abided by his promise w[hich] he now wishes to evade: – The petitioner denies any knowledge of the provision that he was to restore the office after 3 years.

The Tanidar further reports that the transaction is entered in the settlement accounts as a temporary measure the [peti]tioner was permitted in the [illegible] October 1833 to enter upon the duties & Sonthannur was told to give his resignation at the next settlement agreeably to a former standing order, that such resignation was [illegible] [illegible] Sonthannur now

reclaims his rights.

To the Prin Coltor's requisition for the pretended resignation of Toontham the Tassildar which on the 7th May 1836 that it has been destroyed by fire while in the possession of the Tilteh dar, it appears that Toontham was too fit for the office that he [illegible] allows his own incapability.

The Prin Coltor respectfully exceedingly the suspicion of collusion or at least of gross negligence that attaches to the Tassildar in this case. Having a too incapable of the duty it was not in Toonthagin's power to

be (Petitioner) should resume his
original hereditary rights; and
that in consequence the Sarishta
gave a written order under date
9th ~~November~~ October 1833, empowering
him (Petitioner) to execute the
duties of his office: which he
has accordingly done to this time
when Soonthanee interferes with
him; & he concludes by praying
the Nattanee be confirmed in
his son Carhanen.

On the 5th February the Sarishta-
=dar answered the call for infor-
=mation by reporting that Soon-
=thanee Ambalagar had filled the
office of Nattanee for Selam-
=pretty from 1834 to 40 when, in
consequence of sickness, he

by writing deputed his duty
to Pittaroiro for 3 years which
agreement was acted upon till
the Regency of the present party
when Soonthan reclaimed his
right and both persons were
doing the duty. He further re-
ported that he had learned from
the depositions of Vengu Curpen
Nattamager of Covrabypattu that
Vengu Curpen the [illegible] Nattam-
kar of Alumpattu had enjoyed
~~the~~ this ~~[illegible]~~ to fusly 1233 when
he was succeeded by Soonthan the Thangapullay garen who had no right of [illegible] to his death in fusly 1233 & was succeeded by
Ambalagar, who being sick, gave
his resignation to the Collector
in 1240 in favor of Setta, who is
heir to the [illegible] [illegible]
=the

Of the Nattamai of Coorychypatty & Alumpatty in Melur R

In the annual settlement accounts for fusly 1212 are the names of Pereya Curpen, Pereya Curpen of Alumpatty, Moottalagen and Orchy-odian Pereya Curpen.

From 1213 to 17 are no entries.

From 18 to 20 Veeranen & Pereya Curpen of Andypatty

In 21 Pereya Curpen Amblagar, [illegible]=ad & Pereya Curpen of Alumpatty

From 22 to 25 Moottalagen & Pereya Curpen.

From 26 to 32 Curpanen & Munthyen

In 33 Munthyen [illegible] Cur=panen

Fuslee 34 to 44 Peringa Curpin and
Soonthaun.
On the 15 Jany 1836 Moothala-
gen Arnbligar of the hamlet of
Colampetty in the village of Coorday
Petty petitioned stating that
in fusly 1214 Peringa curpin Armbli-
gar was Mootunigar of Coorday-
petty, and his own elder brother
of Colampetty. That on his bro-
ther's death in Adthiar, during his
minority, he was succeeded by
Muttiyen Ambligar, Who had no
hereditary claim but who contin-
ued in office till his death in
in Soobanoo, when he was succeeded
by Soonthaun Ambligar, who in
the year Nundanah gave a written
acknowledgment of incompetency
thro' sickness and agreeing that

34 alienate his rights from his descendants even had he wished to do so, which by no means appears to have been the case.

Soonthanam's eldest son, Veeramen, is directed to be appointed ~~[illegible]~~ to his office, & his locum tenens Moothalagan Kumblagar is prohibited from further interference. 67

Madura 11 May 1836

J. Blackburne
P.Cl

Of the Curnumstheir of Curnuntoor in Thiroomungulum talook.

R

From the general retrospective enquiry reported by the Tasildar on the 8th August 1827 it appears that the name of Dhurmaroya-Pillay was inserted from fusly 1212 to 1218.

He was dismissed, for embezzlement in 1219 & succeeded by Chokalingum Pillay.

Chokalingum Pillay was dismissed on the 16th Aug 1818 (fusly 1227) for having destroyed a pottah authenticated by the Collr's seal & signature and fabricated another in lieu of it, & was succeeded by Sootramunnayen Pillay.

He was dismissed in fusly 1228 for having joined with the Kattamgar in embezzlement & falsifying

~~the accounts of [illegible]~~ was

succeeded by Pereya Gonapulthya

Pillay.

Thus far the report agrees

with the entries in the books of

settlement. — The settlement

accounts then go on to show that

Pereya Gonapulthya Pillay did duty

from the above period till fusly 1241;

but by the Tasildar's enquiry

it seems he was dismissed on the

2nd March 1822 (fusly 1231) for his

[illegible] with the Kurnum [illegible] ap-

-propriated to his own use 1879½

odd Rs.

Ramaswamy Pillay succeeded

him & was dismissed on the 26th Ja-

-nuary 1826 for embezzlement & fal-

-sification of accounts & was suc-

-ceeded by Ramaswamy Iyen who

had no hereditary claim, who

was conducting the duties of the
time of the Taasildar's report in
Fasly 1237.

On the 27 June 1829 (Fasly 1238)
he was dismissed for embezzle-
-ment, and Chinna Goonaputty-
-a Pillay succeeded him.

On his tender of resignation
on the 10th Octr 1842 the Coll.
reappointed the formerly dis-
-missed Pereya Goonaputtyah Pillay
whose name appears in the settle-
-ment book of Fasly 1242.

He was discontinued in Fasly
1243 & on the 21st Augt 1833 Peru-
-mandy Pillay & Saminatha P[illegible]
neither of whom had hereditary
claim, were appointed, but the
latter gave his resignation on the

29th Septr of the same year - and the name of Pitchandy Pillay - alone appears on the books of settlement for 1243; but in the English settlement book the late actg Sub Collr has entered the resignation of Pitchandy Pillay & nomination of Chokalingam Pillay & Chinna Gopaukutty Pillay.

On the 22nd October 1834 Chinna Gopaukutty Pillay petitioned for an order of appointment in conformity to the Sub Collrs entry in Fusly 1243.

The resignation of Pitchandy Pillay combined with the nomination of two persons, one of whom had been long previously dismissed as unworthy, & the circumstance of this nomination never having been enforced having

raised

doubts, the Christadar was direct-
=ed to make a summary verbal
enquiry at the settlement - he
did so during the second week of
June, and it seems that Pitcham-
=dy Pillay, intimidated by the fe-
=rocity of the parties in the [illegible]
did give the resignation and
never dared to assume his functi-
ons. —

It would be weak to yield to
such unauthorized & illegal sedition
and the Sircdar is therefore direct-
=ed to promise his entire support
to Pitchagundy Pillay, & that in case
of his acquiescence to assume the
forthwith and execute the full duties
by himself and his Gomastas he is
to hold him in full possession; & [illegible]

necessary to respect him as to

he can report to the Collector

procure a body of [illegible] for the

safety & peace of the village. —

If Pitchandy Pillay declines

the situation the Sudder [illegible]

select from amongst the whole

village one the most [illegible] that

not being in any way connected

with the formerly discon[tinued]

Chinga Gernapathy Pillay & report

him to the Collr for confirmation

Virugavolum
12 June 1835

J A Blackburne
[illegible] Coll

57

38

Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort [illegible]

R 75

Sir,

I am [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible]

instant [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 70

1840 [illegible] with [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible], I have the honor [illegible] [illegible]

the Board that [illegible] [illegible]

grandson of one [illegible] [illegible]

Commissioner [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

in 1825 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

To the Secretary to the Board of Revenue

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]

39 [illegible] R 77

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
[illegible]

Sir,

I have the honor to [illegible]
you copy of an Extract [illegible]
Proceedings of the [illegible]
[illegible] dated [illegible]
directing under the autho[illegible]
the Court of Sudder Adalat [illegible]
to Con[illegible]
the [illegible] of [illegible]
attachment for the [illegible]
parties who may be [illegible]
[illegible] in the [illegible]

I have the [illegible]

[illegible]
11th July 1840

[illegible]
P. [illegible]

40

the Secretary to the Board of [illegible]

Feb[illegible]

R. [illegible]

I have the honor to [illegible]

[illegible] application of the [illegible]

[illegible] for the Board [illegible]

[illegible] in the Dep[t] of Public [illegible]

[illegible] lands yes[ter]day [illegible]

[illegible] for [illegible]

[illegible] the [illegible]

the [illegible] of the 15 miles [illegible]

the soil [illegible] the [illegible] road

from Meadow to Palmetto [illegible]

and [illegible] not [illegible]

[illegible] But the [illegible]

the road [illegible]

[illegible] by a [illegible]

[illegible] miles a day during [illegible]

…ver soon & in those of 32 whom

…ward them is not, as yet, a ten-

…ch Boys [illegible] exceedingly

…erable to obtaining from the

…ved the wants of the [illegible]

…Dispute [illegible] to [illegible]

…therefore to recommend [illegible]

…apprehension [illegible]

…[illegible] by the Superintendent

…[illegible] Lancaster [illegible] that

…[illegible] to the Prisoners. The [illegible]

…[illegible] in the [illegible]

…10 Sally St [illegible]

…[illegible] the surplus of [illegible]

…ch.

…the matter [illegible]

…[illegible] by The [illegible]

…30 years ago when [illegible] of

…Country [illegible] the [illegible]

…[illegible] for celebration of [illegible]

Pagodas, & was supported by the gift of five villages or Poroo[illegible] for the produce of tenure, ~~[illegible]~~ under the superintendence of the Tamburan of Thiruvadu-thoray in Tanjore.

The third has ever been under the management of the Collector & had all surpluses beyond the ~~[illegible]~~ sum fixed for the celebration of these ceremonies has accumulated in deposit till it has now amounted to a sum of 12226 Rs, owing principally to the improved state of cultivation.

Net Annual collections of 10 years from fusly 1239 to 1248	15607.5
Net collection of 1249	4868.10

5 July 1760

The [illegible]

[illegible]

Sir

I have the honor to enclose
copy of an address from the Ameri[illegible]
of Amerikaan [illegible] Sabock [illegible]
[illegible] regarding the [illegible]
[illegible] ~~of the~~ about of the [illegible]
between the [illegible]
and [illegible] and to [illegible]
you will [illegible]
[illegible] and the [illegible] of the
[illegible] made by the [illegible]
[illegible] who are [illegible]

No. 253

To the Acting Principal Collector of Tanjaore dated 6th August 1840 —

To

The Collector of

Tinnevelly

Sir

In reference to previous corres-
pondence and in answer to your letter of
the 5th Instant I have the honor to
inform you I cannot compare with
the present accounts in my possession the
information you obtain respecting
the disputed boundary of [illegible]
and Poorangoody, but if you will do
me the favor to send that portion of
them having reference to the point in
dispute I will give them my best
attention with a view to correcting my
own present judgement or enforcing

their of alley —

I have &c

M. Court
7th Augt 1840

[illegible]
Coll

To the Collector
of Tinnevelly
7th August 1840

44

No 97

R

To The Principal Collector

of Tanjore

Sir,

In answer to your letter of the [illegible] I have the honor to inclose a copy of an Address I have received from the Queen of Tanjore from which it would appear that the [illegible] said to [illegible] by the [illegible]

Mayne in the [illegible] has not been removed [illegible] yet, and that the [illegible] Remitted by the [illegible] in Tanjore [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] the [illegible]

[illegible] Regard of Exchange [illegible]

You will observe that the

complaint of the incroachm[illegible]

the lands by their [illegible]

claim to the land in ques[illegible]

[illegible] wch are inscribed [illegible]

not be rec'd [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] besides wch

[illegible] not [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] of the [illegible]

the [illegible] [illegible]

the accounts on wch we claim

[illegible] [illegible] & [illegible]

[illegible] shall be [illegible] to you [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

[illegible] Coles J Black[illegible]

28 Aug 1846

No 88. 185 09

The Secretary to the Board of [illegible]

Fort St George

R

Sir

On the 14th Instant I had the

honor to receive the endorsed petition

No 1300 of Ryots bearing your en-

dorsement of the 31st Ultimo and

have the honor to enclose a copy

of my Decision of the 12th Instant

regarding the claims of the Potails

&c.

Madura

8th September 1810

J. Blackburne

Collr.

40

No. 331.

To

The Resident

of

Tanjore

Sir

I have the honor to enclose extract of an address from the Ameen of Tiroopalthorai [illegible] ballid Koth [illegible] and of the enclosure, and request you will in communication with the Sirkar of His Excellency the Rajah Tondiman Bahadur be good enough to put a stop to ~~[illegible]~~ the usurpation of water by the inhabitants of Nagwasal in Poodoocottah, complained

~~of in the accompaniment~~

~~to be so disposed~~

I have the honor to be &c

J. Blackburne

Principal Asst

...mdury

6 Octr 1840

3

No 97 47 93

[Th]e Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

R

Sir/

On my application of the 27th [Jan]uary 1836 for support to the [illegible] of 60 rupees per annum of a [illegible] built by the father of [illegible] Rao [illegible] of Mysore at the village of Chittapet [illegible] miles on road from Madras and ~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] N.W. of Madras, sanction was [illegible] on the 8th July following for appropriation of waste lands [illegible] till [illegible] — the cultivators [illegible] undertake to till them [illegible] is lost. — The [illegible] [illegible] been greatly [illegible] and [illegible] the [illegible]

...ned relation of the said parties
enumerated
...the parties celebrated ~~[illegible]~~ in
...ned by the Schedule of Precedent to
...being given in lieu of the ~~[illegible]~~
...priests being of lower honours
...many (?) [illegible]

...and in all similar grants of
...description I beg to express
...belief it is much more de-
...rable to grant a ~~[illegible]~~
...ption in money to them than
...[illegible]

...Madura
...tober } W Blackburne
...April 1840 Resi

Extent of Land in Yeraktul Kuttum Village recommended to be granted in lieu of other ~~land~~ granted on the 8 July 1836 for support of a Chuttrum at Chittumputty in Meylour ta-luk

	Maniam				
	Extent		Its Tax		
	Cawn	do	Chs	fs.	do
Under Cultivation { Nunjeh Manual 1 sort (or sandy)	8	14 3/4	16	7	4 1/2
Poonjeh do do	2	14 1/2	2	6	12
Total	11	13 1/4	19	4	1/2
Waste Nunjeh { Manual 1st sort	4	"	7	5	"
do 2d do	"	14 3/4	1	3	13 1/4
Total	4	14 3/4	8	8	13 1/4
Total of both	16	12	28	2	13 3/4
or Company's Rupees	60	"	"		

Madura ~~September~~ 1840
14th October

J. S. Cummins
P. C.

48

C

95

No 356. 49 R

The Collector
of [illegible]

Sir,

1 — In the 20th ult. I had the honor to receive your letter of the 16th [illegible] communicating to me your [illegible] with the decree of a Punchayet [illegible] the dispute between the villages of Poorwanny & Seekaragoory, & [illegible] referred them to the Civil Court [illegible] the grounds on which you had [illegible]

2 — [illegible] it seems the Punchayet was not assembled under the provisions of Regn VII [illegible] of 1816 [illegible] under Sec XV Regn V of 1822 [illegible] Collector with the [illegible] consent of both parties, and unless particular [illegible] or [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] XVI [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] of [illegible]

[illegible] appears to be [illegible]

[illegible] separation.

[illegible] they and of the [illegible]

[illegible] see how [illegible] have

[illegible] yourself [illegible]

[illegible] the [illegible] in the [illegible]

[illegible] and [illegible]

[illegible] that [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] in the [illegible]

[illegible] do not [illegible]

[illegible] have [illegible]

[illegible] for the [illegible]

[illegible] the [illegible] to [illegible]

[illegible] you [illegible]

50

that information [illegible] the [illegible]

=ference to the Regulations [illegible]

verified [illegible] to the

performed of [illegible]

full effect to the [illegible]

=bilities [illegible] with the [illegible]

=bers of the members of the [illegible]

=ority

99

Robert [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] February 17[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] present [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] is bad [illegible]

[illegible] with [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] as a proof of the [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Excellency [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

25th [illegible]

Abstract Shewing the Commutation Rates of Taxes in the Villages of Thaumgrapullem [illegible] Madura [illegible]

Villages	Description and qualities of the Soil		Quantity of land (Cawny)	as	Assessment by the Sircar: Amount tax in chuck per Cawny (fs)	ch		Amount tax (fs)	ch	Average per Cawny (fs)	ch	cash	Amount tax (fs)	ch	cash	Increase (fs)	ch	cash	Decrease (fs)	ch	cash	Remarks
Thaumgrapullem?	Nudagary?	1st Sort	12	8¾	4	8	"	60?	4	2	9	5	37	5	12							[illegible]
	Carasal?	1st Sort	14	11¾	4	2	"	51	3½	3	2	8¾	47	9	8½							
		2nd Do	1	"	3	6	"	3		3	1	8	3	1	8							
		3d Do	"	5	3	1	8	"	3½	3	1	8	"	9	3½							
			15	¾	"	"	"	66	11	"	"	"	52	"	14							introduced [illegible]
	[illegible]	1st Sort	"	12½	3	1	8	2	2¾	2	1	8	1	7	7½							of tax [illegible]
			29	6	"	"	"	127?	3½	"	"	"	91	4	3¼	37	3	3½	22	2	2¾	[illegible]
Tadalgoodelloor?	Caraub	1st Do	21	15	4	2	"	92	5	2	3	9¼	57	7½								market [illegible]
		2nd Do	14	0½	3	0	"	54?	10	2	2	10½	35	4	12½							[illegible]
			35	5½	"	"	"	146?	"	"	"	"	87	2¼								[illegible]
	Calar	1st Do	25	2	2	2	8	56?	5	2	1	5¼	55	1	9½							[illegible]
			61	7½	"	"	"	201?	5	"	"	"	142	3	9¾	58	1	1½	29	"	1¼	estimated [illegible] grain

Madura? Cutcherry 25th October 1810?

[illegible signature]

53.

1

Statement of ~~Tarum exchanged~~ Commuted Rates of Tax for lands in Kamarapullum & Varagochelloor Villages of Madacoo Talook.

Village	Description of Land	Sort of Soil	Extent of Land (Cawn)	(Cu)	Rate of Tarum ₱ Cawney (Fs)	(f)	(a)	Amount of Tarum (Fs)	(f)	(a)	Description of Land	Sort of Soil	Extent of Land (Cawn)	(Cu)	Rate of Tarum ₱ Cawney (Fs)	(f)	(a)	Amount of Tarum (Fs)	(f)	(a)	12 (Fs)	(f)	(a)
1	2	3	4		5			6			7	8	9		10			11			12		
Kamarapullam											Manul	1st	4	1¾	3	1	8	12	9	7			
												2d	2	8	2	7	..	6	7	8			
													6	9¾				19	6	15			
	Paroogay	1st	12	8¾	6	8	..	60	2	4	Paroogay	3d	2	15¾	3	6	..	10	7	7			
											Kurushel	3d	..	8¾	3	1	8	1	7	3½			
											Calur	1st	2	6½	2	2	8	5	4	3¼			
													12	8¾				37	5	12	22	6	3
											Careshel	2d	3	6¼	3	6	..	12	3	3			
												3d	6	3¼	3	1	8	19	5	6¼			
	Karushell	1st	14	11¼	4	2	..	61	8	13½			9	10				31	5	9¼			
											Manul	1st	5	1¾	3	1	8	16	..	15¼			
													14	11¾				47	9	3½	13	9	5
		2d	1	..	3	6	..	3	6	..	Careshel	3d	1	..	3	1	8	3	1	8	..	4	8
		3d	..	5	3	1	8	..	9	13½	Do.	Do.	..	5	3	1	8	..	9	13½	..	..	..
			16	¾				66	4	11			15	¾	..	..	..	52	..	14	14	3	13
	Manul	1st	..	12½	3	1	8	2	4	9¾	Calur	1st	..	12½	2	1	8	1	7	9¼	..	7	½
		Total	29	6				129	1	8¾		Total	29	6				91	4	3½	37	7	3½
Varagochelloor											Calur	1st	13	12½	2	2	8	31	..	1¼			
												2d	3	11	1	8	..	6	6	6			
		1st	21	15	4	2	..	92	1	6			17	7½				37	6	7¼			
											Careshel	3d	4	7½	3	1	8	14	..	12¼			
													21	16				51	7	3½	40	..	2½
	Careshel										Saratay	1st	1	12	2	7	..	4	7	4			
												2d	2	12¾	2	2	8	6	2	15			
													4	8¾				11	..	3			
		3d	14	6½	3	6	..	51	8	10	Calur	1st	5	¾	2	2	8	11	2	1½			
												3d	1	2½	1	3	8	1	5	9¾			
													6	2¾				12	8	¼			
											Careshel	3d	3	11	3	1	8	11	6	2¼			
													14	6½				35	4	12¾	16	3	15½
			36	5½				114	..	..			36	5½				87	2	¼	36	7	15¾
	Calur	1st	25	3	3	2	8	56	5	5	Calur	1st	22	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
												2d	2	3¼	1	3	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]	[illegible]			
												3d	..	8	1	3	8	..	6	12			
													25	2				55	1	9½	1	3	11½
			51	7½				200	5	5			61	7½				142	3	9¾	38	1	11¼

Tax on trees in the neighbouring villages

Description & Sort of Soil		Tarum (Fs)	(f)	(a)	(Fs)	(f)	(a)	(Fs)	(f)	(a)
Careshel	1st [illegible]	4	2	..						
	6 30	3	..							
	3d 30	3	1	8						
Manul	1st Do.	3	1	8	2	8	..	2	2	8
	2d Do.	2	7	..	2	4	..			
	3d Do.	2	2	8	2	..	..			
Calur	1st Do.	2	2	8	2	5	..			
	2d Do.	1	8	..	1	6	..			
	3d Do.	1	3	8	1	2	..			

55

55

No 365 56

R

To

The Resident of
Tanjoure

Sir

With reference to your letters of the 22 and 27 Instant and their enclosures, I have the honor to request you will be good enough to procure the attendance of the Zamindars in His Excellency the Soubahdar's country under whose cognizance the disputed boundaries respectively are at the places in dispute on the 21 Proximo to decide upon them in concert with the Amildar of Amrastee Talook whom I have ordered to be in attendance at the above ~~place~~ time

In want of the time selected

and

suiting the convenience of the Cst.
officers I request to be early appri
=ze of any other they may fix
upon

I have the honor to be &c.

Chadurangh
17 Oct 1840

J Blackburn
Principal Collr

13 Oct 1840

No 372 57 R

To

The Collector

of Tinnevelly

Sir

I have the honor to enclose copy of an address dated the 28th Ultimo from the Gomastah of Parala chee reporting that ~~[illegible]~~ Pedro Reddy a ryot of Hurra chellapoorame in the Moottah of Poodoor in your Collectorate has in defiance of prohibition again begun to cultivate the Poorkum of the boundary under assumption by order of the Board of Revenue and to request you will enforce the prohibition to his interfering with the land.

I am aware it is within

my own authority to punish him on proof of his contumacy but in respect to me your prohibition will have the effect without necessity to have recourse to that measure

I have the honor to be &c

Madura 9 Nov. 1840

J. Blackburne
Principal Collector

No. 172 [illegible]
to the Collector of
Tinnevelly
dated 2d Nov. 1840

No 104. 58

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

Fort St George

Sir

I have the honor to for=
=ward a correspondence with
the Collector of Tinnevelly
and request the Board's in=
=terference and order in the
land in dispute is on the
borders of the two Collectorates
and appears to have been
fully awarded to belong to
their title the decision was
not made by the late Col=
=lector of Tinnevelly - I have

not been furnished me the
a copy of the decision to
Parent forward it for the
Board's consideration

[illegible]
[illegible] 7 1840

J Blackburn
P. C.

No 104 Cal.
To the Bd dated
7 Novr 1840

No. 51

To the Sub Collector in Charge of Tinn[evelly]

Sir,

I have the honor to furni[sh you] with Copy of an address dated the 15 [...] the Superintendent of Ramiseweram [...] Complaining against the [...]sildar of [...]varem and to request you will be [pleased] to take such notice of his conduct a[s you may] deem Convenient to secure justice [to the com]plaining party.

I have &c.

(Signed) [...]

Madura
Ramnad
3rd Feby 1840.

To the Principal Collector of [...]

Sir

With reference [...] the 3rd instant requesting an [...] previous letter dated the 10th Ult[...] a boundary dispute between the [...] Poovany and Sekkaragoody in Ottapedarum I regret that I do not feel myself at liberty to give any opinion regarding the [...]

because the cause was entertained
by Mr Thompson when Acting as Collector
[illegible] and as that Gentleman has now
[illegible] appointed to the situation and is
[illegible] wholly it seems proper that the sub-
[illegible] be left for his determination

I have &c.

(Signed) C. J. Bird
Sub Collector
in Charge

No. 14

60

To the Collector of Tinnevelly

Sir,

With reference to ... the Sub Collector in charge of the ... and my previous letter I have the honor to request you will communicate to me ... on the subject of the boundary dispute ... the villages of Poovany and Suckarage ... cases of this description become more ... of satisfactory adjustment by such ...

Madura
28th April 1840

(Signed) ... Blair ...

To the Principal Collector of Madura

Sir,

1. With reference to your ... of the 28th April last regarding the dispute between the villages of Poovany and ... goody I have the honor to inform you that at the request of the parties a Punchayet was assembled to decide the point at issue. Four members signed a decree that the land belonged to the pagoda and the 5th member

...s their recording his opinion that
... of the four was wrong and that
...idently colluded to cheat the Govern=

...Section VIII Regulation VII of 1816
...that the decree should bear
...e or mark of the members of
...y and the Sub... ...ought have
...the ... must be signed by all
... ... As this
...case in the present instance
...was set aside and the parties
...to the Civil Court

Signed / C. P. Thompson
Collector

...1840-3 No. 356

...the Collector of Tinnevelly

Sir,

On the 2nd instant I had the ... to receive your letter of the 10th communi=...ing to me your having set aside the decree ... Punchayet on the dispute between the ...llages of Provany and Suckaragoody, and having ...rred them to the Civil Court with the grounds ...which you had acted-

To me it seems the Punchayet ...assembled under the provisions of

61

Regulation VII of 1816 as you st[...]
under that of Section XI Regulat[...]
by the Collector with the mutual co[...]
both parties and unless partiality or c[...]
be proved you have no authority to [...]
a side the decision cannot be affec[...]
informality as is clear from Clause
XVI of the same Regulation. The re[...]
of one of the members to sign the
does not render it invalid but by the
of the decision forwarded to me by
Pundarum of Ramiswarum there d[...]
appear to be any deficiency of signature[...]

3. Under this view of the [...]
cannot see how you should have deem[...]
yourself authorised to set a side the decree
passed on the 30th September 1830 and dire[...]
to impose on the successful party th[...]
trouble and expense of prosecuting o[...]
defending his claim in the Civil C[...]
But as you do not mention which pa[...]
you have thought proper to put & main[...]
in possession, I have the honor to request
you will favor me with that information
and if my reference to the Regulation

with you I hope to be informed
... giving your full effect to the de=
... instituted an enquiry into the conduct
... of the Purchasy —

(Signed) J. Blackburne
P. Collr

1840 —

the Principal Collect of Madura

Sir

With reference to your letter
... I have the honor to inform you
... in dispute between the people
... goody and Poovany continues to be
belonging to the former Village — It has
... made over to any individual or in=
... Suckaragoody is a Perumbut
... which the Government is the landed
... — But the cultivators who have heretofore
portions of the lands are maintained in
... of such portions and parties wishing
... cultivate hitherto unemployed tracts are per=
... to do so on condition of paying the Govern=
... demand —

(Signed) C. J. Bird
Sub Collector
in Charge

... Office
... 1840 —

(True Copies)

Princl Collr

No 392

625

The Collector

of

Tinnevelly

In answer to the Honorable letter of the 16th Ulto. I have the honor to forward for your information copy of an [illegible] the 15th last from the [illegible] the Renneswaram [illegible] showing that the choultry [illegible] built in Tartavarikal was built at the mutual expense of the inhabitants of [illegible] Nadoongarry in July 1787. [illegible] alterations you [illegible] make must be conducted [illegible] [illegible] of [illegible] with the [illegible] the Remnand lands [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] November 1840

[illegible]

No. 754

To the Collector of

[illegible] City dated

20th November 1840

[illegible]

395

63

R

Sir,

In reply to your letter of the
4th instant I have the honor
to observe that in the [illegible]
survey accounts furnished [illegible]
the land in dispute between the
Zemindary in Tinnevelly & corres-
ponding in Madura is shewn as the
waste or Anadee Tursoo in the
year 1804, & it does not appear
that it has ever been enjoyed
by the inhabitants of these
estates. — But in the survey ac-
counts of Madura for 1806 one [illegible]
is shewn as cultivated, & the other
[illegible] as waste: added to which

[illegible] the limits of Sovereignty
[illegible] been shewn to have been brought
[illegible] of jurisdiction in different
[illegible] of the water; & as will
appear by the accompanying
plan prepared by ~~myself~~ the
~~[illegible]~~ the Tahsildar of Ma-
Hacolum ~~[illegible]~~ under whole lies
[illegible] the Marine side of these
Boundary Marks.—

If you have no other objection
to urge to this statement I trust
[illegible] will acquiesce in the pro-
priety of keeping the Sovereignty
[illegible] Inhabitants in full possession
[illegible] referring their adversaries if
they shall contest the right, to
the Civil Courts

Madras
20 Novr 1846

J Blackburne
P [illegible]

No 109 68

R

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Fort St. George

Sir

I have the honor to return Petition No 1661 of 1840 inclosed in ~~[illegible] with~~ your letter ~~and numbered [illegible] returned~~ of the 9 Instant, and to request with reference to the prayer of the Petitioners the Board's attention to their instructions conveyed in your letter of the 29 December last in answer to mine of the 18 ~~November~~ of November ~~preceding~~ [illegible]

I have the honor to be &c

Madura
25 Nov 1840

J. Blackburne
Principal Collr

The Petitioners in the above [illegible]
"certain [illegible] of the [illegible]
"They respectfully [illegible]
"[illegible] of [illegible]
"[illegible] of the [illegible]

No 10

65 R 65

To

The Secretary to the

Board of Revenue

Fort St George

Sir

With reference to the Board's

Endorsement on Petition No 1538 of

1840 ~~received with~~ inclosed in your letter of the ~~~~ 7 acknowledged

9 Instant, I have the honor to re-

port that in the Settlement of Fusly 1213 Palaumkurchi Cunnan ~~was appointed~~ appeared Nattamgar of Dong apooram and after the death ~~which occurred~~ in Fusly 17 the ~~Uncle's son~~ younger brother of his father's was appointed

by the Zemindar of Veramalay

in 18, & who held the office till

38 when he was dismissed for having

colluded

× Deceased Nattamgar

with the other Kattamgars under

and both to suppress ~~an~~ [illegible] convic-

tion of certain frauds committed

in the Village; and Arasapa Coun-

der, son of Palanyharchee Counder

~~the deceased~~ who died [illegible] was appointed to succeed

him by my predecessor Mr Viveash

on the 16 December 1828. This in-

dividual filled the office till July

1839 when being found guilty of

a breach of the peace Mr Viveash

dismissed him ~~[illegible]~~ also and gave the

[illegible] the son of his father's younger

[illegible] ~~his younger brother~~

brother [illegible] D. [illegible] 316 [illegible] ~~[illegible] 1838~~ [illegible]

~~[illegible] under Counden who now holds~~

[illegible] to hold it.

[illegible] ~~the 31 [illegible] 1831 The present~~

As the ~~present~~ present incumbent has

~~an equally [illegible]~~ right to the [illegible]

[illegible] ~~the Petitioner~~ and has

held it [illegible] ~~an [illegible] of the~~ [illegible] of the

present complainant
~~Letter~~ to me was endorsed on the
27 January last to effect that his claim
would not be taken into consideration
~~when~~ till the necessary ... falls vacant

I have the honor &c

J Blackburn
Principal ...

Madras
21 Nov 1803

1416 67

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue

67 Fort St George

R

Sir

The expediency of the Tower having been built at Conjeeveram [illegible] was offered [illegible] by subscription [illegible] the [illegible] of [illegible] [illegible] temporary assumption the proprietor offered to appropriate a [illegible] to its support but under the circumstances of the offer I declined to accept it — The Hereditary Superintendent of [illegible] Cutlur in the [illegible] Pagoda in the Fort of [illegible] [illegible] that I propose a [illegible] the government [illegible] it from the surplus funds [illegible]

[illegible] for its [illegible]
and for assistance to indigent tra-
vellers. The road is much frequent-
ed by pilgrims to the Shrine at
[illegible] all the year round but
[illegible] particular [illegible] it is
[illegible] frequented. The [illegible]
[illegible] to [illegible] month was [illegible]569
[illegible] to recommend [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

No. 101 68

To the Principal Collector of
Tanjore

Sir,

In answer to your letters of the 10th Septr & 4th instant I have the honor to forward Copy of my order dated the 17th September &c & an Extract register of the 7th Ultmo. from the Ameen of Amrady from which you will perceive the claim for supply of water ~~is admitted & strong intention that it should be~~ ~~has been~~ fully enforced, but I am sorry to say the Tank in dispute has not received its full supply from the failure of rain & storm in the S.W. monsoon during this year ~~...~~

Mono of the opening of the surplus nid.

I have &c

[illegible]

...dary
...18..

No 421 (8) R 139

To

The Acting Collector

of Tinnevelly

Sir

In the enquiry into the boundary dispute between the inhabitants of Punnalagoody in ~~[illegible]~~ Ramnad and the talapetty in Tinnevelly, held by the ~~[illegible]~~ head Sheristadar of the ~~[illegible]~~ recently a copy of the accounts forwarded in the year ... ~~[illegible]~~ ... ~~[illegible]~~ ... The Curnum of Punna-lagoody ~~[illegible]~~ deposed that the original of the said accounts having been given to Pelleya Pullay a servant of the ~~[illegible]~~ Cutchery at Tinnevelly to be filed in the

before Mr Ravenscroft on the
month of January of July 1831.
to the account in question it
material for the dispose of the
present dispute, and is also
wanted for any record. I have
the honor to request I will
... enough to ~~[illegible]~~
~~[illegible]~~

I have the honor to be ...

Fort ... 3
... 1840
...

$1.20

the Acting Coll. of
... dated 9th
December 1840

H. ...
Principal Coll.

No 110 — 10

The Secretary to the Board of Revenue
Fort St George

Sir,

Your letter of the 3d Instt. giving cover to Petn. No 1609 of 1840 herewith returned, and requiring an immediate report upon it, has this day come to hand; I have the honor to report without loss of time that the Petitioner has no money claim but is only a hired servant & when dismissed by his master had no right to interfere:— In proof of this view of the case I have the honor to forward copies of three documents one of which is his own acknowledgement of that fact

the foregoing is copy of my
order of the 5th December 1835 the which
... a disturbed appearance is
... in the 3rd page of the petition

J. W. Cushing
P. C.

... Madison
11 Decr 1840

No 119 ...
To the ... date
11 Decr 1840

No. 140 71

(14)

To The British Resident

2 of Tanjore

Sir

I have the honor to forward copy of an address from the Ameen of Thiroopattoor in Shevagunga under date the 25th Instant shewing the removal of a bank as ordered under a former decision has not yet been carried into effect by the Subject of His Excellency The Rajah Sundurum Behadur & beg to request the necessary orders may be issued for its inforce-ment.

Madura Oahpoor 31st Decr 1840

I have &c

J. Blackburne

To the
The British Resident
Tanjore

72

Sir,

In answer to your letter of the 27th October, accompanied by one from the Poodocotah Sirkele(?), of the 24th of the same month, respecting the boundary in dispute between the villages of Ve-dengoody(?) in the country of H. E. the Rajah Tondaman Bahadur & Kotta-mungalum(?) in Shivagunga, I [illegible] observe by an address of the 22nd Inst. from the Ameen of Ramnad(?) that the parties consented to abide by the de-cision of a Punchayet, ~~third~~ copy of which ~~had been forwarded~~ to Ramnad(?) furnished to the [illegible] of [illegible] and I have the honor to request I may be [illegible] if H. E. pleases it should

No 435

The Collector
of Samulkotta

73

Sir!

I have the honor to request your attention to my letter of the 9th [illegible] and in want of the remaining amount not being forthcoming request you will be so good as to inform me that I may lose no further time in putting a temporary stop to the border disputes by calling one of the [illegible] or Pedda[illegible]gody villages, enquired into by our two Head Inhabitants, by pursuing my decision as proposed in my letter of the 11th Feby in the [illegible] [illegible].

I [illegible]
S. C.

Madras
[illegible] 28 Feby 18[illegible]